| 11/3 Newcomer Leaders! <br> 1st OA: Elizabeth \& Jack WIIliams (59.5\%) <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ OA Robert Roark \& Sharon Koster (58.3\%) <br> 11/6 Tuesday Eve Leaders <br> ${ }^{\text {st }}$ OA: Lewis Temples \& Jeff Rubenstein (73.8\%) WOW! <br> $2^{\text {nd }}$ OA Patrica Burnam \& Lisa Eisenstein (57.5\%) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\stackrel{\text { \% }}{6}$ | CBA | Date/PM | Who | Topic |
| 衰 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10 Nov } \\ & \text { 12:30 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N/A | No Seminar this week |
| ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 99er } \\ & \text { Tue } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \text { Nov } \\ & \text { 6:30 } \end{aligned}$ | Amy FIshe | Opening Leads Suits |

## CBA NLM Games - Play Often!

Mon NLM 7 PM CBA Wed Home Style 11:00 AM CBA
Tues 99er 7 PM CBA Wed 199er 6:30 PM NKY BC
Thurs NLM 7 PM CBA 1st Fri 99er 10:00 AM NKY BC
0-30 Sat 1 PM CBA (Lecture at 12:30 PM)

## Area Tournaments

Nov 5-11 Elizabeth, IN
Oct 29 - Nov 3 Indianapolis, IN Unit 130
Nov 16-18 Indianapolis, IN
Nov 22 - Dec 2 San Francisco, CA NABC
Nov 30 - Dec 2 Kettering, OH S
For Details go to http://www.acbl.org/tournament-calendar/

## Louisville hosts the final District 11 Regional this week. Join us and get GOLD points!

A Losing Option by Rich Colker (reprinted from the Anaheim NABC Bulletin p2 Sunday August 20, 2000) In the closing minutes of Friday's close quarterfinal Spingold match between Rita Shugart and Rose Meltzer, this little gem came along. It could only have happened against a top expert like Alan Sontag.

| East | South | West | North | After a mildly |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1N | Pass | $2 \&$ | 2 competitive auction |  |

became declarer in 3s. Andrew Robson led the 9 and Sontag wonand drew a second trump, Robson pitching a diamond. Sontag then led a diamond from dummy and Shugart rose with the king to play a third trump, Robson pitching another diamond. When Sontag drew the last trump, pitching the $Q$ from his own hand, Robson had

| Bd 58 <br> East Deals Both Vul | Robson <br> - Q97 <br> - Q105 <br> - AJ10643 <br> $\pm 9$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sontag <br> - A864 <br> - K964 <br> - Q7 <br> - 874 | $W^{N} \quad{ }_{S}^{N}$ | Weichel <br> - KJ2 <br> - J32 <br> - 98 <br> - AKQJ3 |
|  | Shugart <br> - 1053 <br> - A87 <br> - K52 <br> - 10652 |  |

an answer - not an obvious one, but one which had a chance to succeed only against one of the world's great players.

Robson could see that Sontag would have no alternative to taking the spade finesse for his $9^{\text {th }}$ trick and that it was destined to win, with the queen-third sitting as it was in front of the king-jackthird. If only there was something he could do to tip the odds slightly in his favor and away from the winning line. Suddenly he found the answer. On the $4^{\text {th }}$ trump Robson discarded- the 4 ! Just consider the possibility this opened up for Sontag. If North started with 1097 , South's queen could now be captured by leading the _J from dummy and letting it ride if Shugart ducked. If Shugart covered, Sontag would win with the ace, Robson's 9 (or 10) falling, and a second spade to the king would bring down Robson's other intermediate, setting up Sontag's 8.

Sure enough, Sontag worked this out and led the as from dummy (he could have led the $\uparrow$ Kirst and Robson would have followed with the $\downarrow 9$ ). When Shugart played low Sontag let it ride and Robson won his queen. He then cashed a second diamond and the defense eventually came to two heart tricks to add to the two diamonds and one spade they had already scored for down one, minus 100.

At the other table Chip Martel and Lew Stansby reached $3 *$ on the North-South cards. When this failed by one trick (-100), Shugart picked up five nifty IMPs. Meltzer won the match 124-119, the margin of victory being you guessed it - 5 IMPs! If only Robson had had one more opportunity like this one.
Learning Points: East judged well to play 3\& and not 2N. The opponent's $\leqslant$ s are a threat to that contract. A subtle inference has big impact with experts.

## Newcomer News 0-30 - Mike Purcell 5137024007 mikpur@cinci.rr.com

Wow, this week we had 5 tables plus a separate table for beginner players. This is a record for our game and Phyllis and I want thank everyone for playing. Because there were so many tables we can award masterpoints to more pairs. Congratulations to this week's winners:

Elizabeth and Jack Williams in $1^{\text {st }}$ at 59.52\% and 0.50 Masterpoints
Salty Roark and Sharon Koster in $2^{\text {nd }}$ at $58.33 \%$ and 0.35 Masterpoints
Darleen Young and Natalie Steinberg in $3^{\text {rd }}$ at $55.95 \%$ and 0.25 Masterpoints
Patricia Burnam and Lisa Eisenstein in $4^{\text {th }}$ at $58.33 \%$ and 0.18 Masterpoints

Full results for Saturday's game can be found at: http://www.cincybridge.com/calendar2/121103A.HTM

This Saturday there WILL be a game at 1 pm but NO SEMINAR due to the Louisville Regional Tourney.

This week's hand shows us that in matchpoint scoring, greed to quote Gordon Gekko is good.

| oard 9 | A A J 3 | Bidding - North with 17 HCP and a balanced hand opens 1NT (15-17). | Bd 9 | N-S | E-W | N-S |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E-W Vul | 1093 | South with the minimum 10 HCP needed plus a five card diamond suit can comfortably raise to game at 3NT. | 3 NT |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\pm$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \& Q } 652 \\ & \vee K 64 \\ & 8 \\ & \& Q 1086 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Play of the Hand - Most East's will lead the $\geqslant 2$ (fourth best) or $\geqslant 10$ (top of a series). On this lead, North has at least |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NS 4N 4 4 2 14 <br> N3ヶS2ヶ | - 874 <br> - QJ5 <br> -QJ742 <br> \& A 9 | 2 hearts, 1 spade, 1 diamond and 2 clubs and must develop diamonds to make game. Missing the $\downarrow \mathrm{K}$ you should try to capture the king by finessing for | 3 N |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | . 5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| it. To do this win the in dummy and play the to your hand. This play loses this time but sets up the suit. Now you have 9 tricks and enough to make 3 NT . In the 0-30 game that's get you a top result ( 3.5 MP out of 4 ) but in the open game it gets you a near bottom ( 0.5 MP out of 11 ). Why? Because in the open game pairs were willing to take risks to make overtricks, even at the expense of making their game. I was playing in the open game where my opponent could settle for 10 tricks ( 4.5 MP out of 11 ) but risked only making 9 tricks to take a winning 2 finesse to make 11 tricks ( 9.5 MP out of 11 ). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |
|  |  |  | 3 NT |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S | 130 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 NT | 90 |  |  |  |
| ost Mortem - In matchpoint scoring, overtricks can be the differenc |  |  | 6 NT N |  | 150 | 0 |  |

between a top result and a poor one. If the chances are good a finesse or similar play will work, it is often worth trying even in some cases where you risk the contract.

