| Goren on Bridge: Weekly bridge quiz | Q 2 - North-South vulnerable |
| :---: | :---: |
| GOREN BRIDGE JUNE 10, 2023 | -5 Q 76542 AK A A Q 32 |
| Q1 - Neither vulnerable | NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST |
| - Q ¢J 765 * 3 2 A K Q J 2 | 1* Pass 1\% Pass |
| NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST | 14. Pass ? |
| 1* Pass 1\% Pass | What call would you make? |
| 14. Pass ? |  |
| What call would you make? |  |
| The first 2 problems are from Larry Cohen's new book "Larry Teaches Conventions". Have a look at larryco.com. |  |
| Q 3 - East-West vulnerable | Q 4 - Both vulnerable |
|  |  |
| WEST NORTH EAST SOUTH | SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST |
| 1* 1\% 14 ? | 1* 1* 1* Pass |
|  | ? |
| What call would you make? | What call would you make? |
| Q 5 - North-South vulnerable | Q 6 - East-West vulnerable |
| -A 964 ソK 973 - 633 4 3 |  |
| SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST | NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST |
| Pass Pass 10 Pass | $1 \mathrm{NT}^{*} \mathrm{P}$ ? |
| 1v Pass 1NT Pass | *15-17 |
| ? |  |
| What call would you make? | What call would you make? |
|  | Look for answers on Monday. |

Congratulations to Arun Goyal and all workers and volunteers on a spectacular 2023 Flying Pig Regional. You can see results and electronic bulletins here: http://www.cincybridge.com/CFPR_Results.html

Question 1
Q1 - Neither vulnerable

- A Q $\downarrow$ J 65 * 32 \& K QJ 2
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { NORTH EAST } & \text { SOUTH } & \text { WEST } \\ \text { 1\% } & \text { Pass } & \text { 1v } & \text { Pass } \\ \text { 1- } & \text { Pass } & \text { ? } & \end{array}$


Bob Jones 2 Clubs or no trump? Game or slam? It's too soon to tell. Bid 2 $\downarrow$, FourthSuit Forcing, to create a game force and go slowly from there.

Steve Vogel 2 (XYZ) Not sure of the best final contract (even slam is still possible). I feel it is best to show a game forcing hand as low as possible, given my relatively balanced hand, with a 2 bid (XYZ game forcing) and await developments. If I had a singleton diamond, I would prefer to bid 3\& (slammish in clubs).

Joe Muenks 2 Epls, regardless whether you play XYZ, $4^{\text {th }}$ suit forcing 1 round or to game, force your partner to further describe her hand. It feels like we're going to 6\&, but 6NT from north may work too.

Bob Fisk 3\& Playing XYZ, a jump to the three level after three one-level bids shows strong slam interest in the suit bid. Combining that with enhanced XYZ, and it guarantees that I have no shortness (i.,e., 2-4-2-5 shape). If I held AQx Jxxx x AKQJx, I would have bid 2NT at my second turn as a relay to $3 \boldsymbol{*}$, and then bid 3 to show my singleton Diamond (i.e., low shortness).

Steve Moese 2 Fourth Suit Forcing to Game. We have a great fit in Clubs and weakness in Diamonds. Partner will 1) Show 3-card support for Hearts, 2) a notrump stopper in Diamonds, 3) extra length in one of their suits (but 3ight be forced if they cannot bid hearts or stop diamonds). We will raise clubs next suggesting trumps and a very good hand.

Don't jump to $5 \boldsymbol{*}$. Our hand is too good. Take time and use the bidding space to explore whether 3NT, 52, 6e, or 6NT is best.
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Question 2
Q 2 - North-South vulnerable
-5 WQ 76542 * AK A Q 32
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { NORTH EAST } & \text { SOUTH } & \text { WEST } \\ \text { 1 } & \text { Pass } & 1 \% & \text { Pass } \\ \text { 1- } & \text { Pass } & \text { ? } & \end{array}$


Bob Jones 2 Hearts, clubs or no trump? Game or slam? Start with 2 to create a game force and try to find out what you need to know.

Steve Vogel 2 (XYZ) Again, as with \#1, I am not sure of the best final contract, so I would start with showing game forcing values (2*), then depending on partner's response, will determine my best next bid.

Joe Muenks 2 Epls, same as last one, regardless of system make partner bid again, then rebid hearts. Partner may have wasted values in spades or poor hearts, in which case game is the limit, though I'm hopeful for slam still.

Bob Fisk $\quad \mathbf{2}$ (XYZ). I'll bid $2 \diamond$ (XYZ) to create a game force. I don't know whether we should play the hand in $3 N T, 4 \vee, 5 \mathfrak{*}, 6 \mathfrak{*}$, or $6 \uparrow$. Now that we're in a game force, we have all the room in the world to figure it out. Sweet!

Steve Moese 2 Whether you play XYZ or $4^{\text {th }}$ Suit Forcing, we make a game force now. We avoid jumping in such an anemic heart suit for the moment. If partner does not support hearts next we will move toward 3NT. Playing hearts opposite Ax or Kx won't be pretty.
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## Question 3

Q 3 - East-West vulnerable

- Q T 7 4 V8 73 * A 3 \& K Q 74

WEST NORTH EAST SOUTH
1* 1\% 14 ?


Bob Jones 2- Everybody is in on the action. Tell partner you have a good hand for him. Bid $2 \diamond$, showing a limit raise or better.

Steve Vogel 2 My partners and I play that a cue bid in the lower suit shows a 3-card limit+ raise. Cue bidding the higher suit shows a 4-card limit+ raise. A jump cue bid of 3 would show a 4-card+ constructive raise (mixed raise).

Joe Muenks 2昷 Whereas normally I'll cue the lower suit with 3 trump and the higher with 4 since we're forced to the 3 level, this hand encourages me to compete to the 3 level and force them to bid to $3 \boldsymbol{\$}$ or 4 , which I'll happily defend for a plus.

Bob Fisk 2 With two cuebids available, I like to play that a cuebid of the lowerranking suit shows a 3-card limit raise, and a cuebid of the higher-ranking suit shows a 4-card limit raise. Talk this over with your partners. If you play that a bid of 2 NT in this position shows a 4-card limit raise, then you can use the two cuebids to show weaker/better 3-card raises.

Steve Moese 2 With 11 HCP and 4324 shape we make an invitational bid.
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## Question 4

Q 4 - Both vulnerable
\& A VAT964 K \& Q J T 64

| SOUTH WEST NORTH | EAST |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 *$ | $1 *$ | $1 \%$ | Pass |
| $?$ |  |  |  |



Bob Jones 4 You have too much to do and no good way to do it. Bid 4 , a splinter bid, and hope partner can do more than bid $4 \uparrow$. Should he bid $4 \vee$, pass.

Steve Vogel 2 I considered making a splinter bid of $4 \diamond$, but then what would I do over partner's expected response of $4 \vee$ ? Should I move towards slam when game is not even $100 \%$ as I could lose 2 hearts, a club and diamond if partner has weak hearts? I believe it is better to cue bid $2 \diamond$ (general strength and usually showing a fit) and listen to partner's next bid.

Joe Muenks 2-So many good bids, but to avoid all ambiguity later I'll start with the strongest bid available (other than a jump to 6, perhaps). Anyone bidding 2^, 3», 4\&, 4४ or 4NT depending what their agreements are also right this hand.

Bob Fisk 3 While I'm exceedingly happy with partner's bid, I don't want to eat up too much room here. Slam depends on partner holding KQxx in Hearts and the $k$ (or the $\downarrow$ I suppose). Let's see what partner says over 3 . Partner could hold KQJ QJxx QJxx xxx, and we'd have no play at all for slam.

Steve Moese 3 A splinter raise of hearts. Partner can hold as little as 5 HCP and only 4 Hearts. Give them room to help make the game or slam decision.

Mike Burns: (Problem \#4): Steve, Do you normally splinter a singleton ace or king, or is this a unique case?
Steve Moese: Mike, If I can mentally replace the singleton honor with a deuce and still have a splinter I do it. Partner won't mind an extra.
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Question 5
Q 5 - North-South vulnerable
-A 964 YK 973 A 63 4 4

| SOUTH WEST NORTH | EAST |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pass | Pass | $1 \mathbf{n}$ | Pass |
| 1ष | Pass | $1 N T$ | Pass |
| $?$ |  |  |  |



Bob Jones 2NT Partner might be light for his third-chair opening, but you have to show your values. Bid 2NT.

Steve Vogel Pass Often, I will invite game with 11 points, but it appears that our hands do not fit very well. Based on the bidding, I expect partner have 4 or 5 clubs with at most 3 diamonds, at most 3 spades and at most 3 hearts. I would expect game to be well against the odds, even if partner has a maximum.

Joe Muenks 2 $\underline{\text { 2 }}$ You can XYZ this hand (raise to 2NT in standard) if you are very strict to open 1 NT with $15-17$. If you play $14+-17$ - I would pass at pairs.

Bob Fisk 2e (XYZ). 2e, in XYZ land, is used to show every invitational hand. After partner's forced $2 \checkmark$ bid, I'll bid 2NT.

Steve Moese 2 XYZ; 2NT if New Minor Forcing or Natural. We want to make one invite. Our 11-12 opposite 13-14 should have good game chances.
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Bob Jones 3NT This hand has a lot of potential. Be aggressive and bid 3NT.
Steve Vogel 2NT (Diamonds) If partner rebids 3 (showing no fit). I will sign off in 3 . If partner rebids 3 showing the Ace or King, I would bid 3NT in imps. At matchpoints, I would probably take the plus and pass.

Joe Muenks 2NT Partner can make a lot of diamonds in my hand but possibly 1 or 0 in NT. If they lead or switch to a spade fast, dummy is likely dead. Also, if you pass, West is likely to compete in the opponent's 8 or 9 card major so let's make that impossible with our transfer.

Bob Fisk 2NT (Diamonds). If partner bids 3 to show Axx, Kxx, Qxx (odd), or xxxx, I'll bid 3 NT. If partner bids $3 \&$ denying any of the above holdings, I'll sign off in $3 \downarrow$. Bridge is easy!

Steve Moese 2NT Transfer to Diamonds. If partner declines by bidding 3* we rebid 3 to play. If they bid $3 \diamond$ showing they like diamonds we pass (though gambling $3 N T$ has merits holding one outside entry). Holding AKx(x...) in diamonds partner will rebid 3NT.
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| 1 |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bob Jones | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2NT | 3NT |
| Steve Vogel | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Pass | 2NT |
| Joe Muenks | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2NT |
| Bob Fisk | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2NT |
| Steve Moese | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2NT |


| Al Venosa | 2 | 2 | 29 | 4NT | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bob K | 2 | 39 | 2 | 2 | 29 | 2NT |
| Carl Willig | 3\% | 39 | 1NT | 2 | 2\% | 3NT |
| Chuck | 3\% | 1NT | $2 \vee$ | 4 | 2NT | 2NT |
| Dave | 2 | 2 | 39 | 4 | Pass | 2NT |
| Dean Congbalay | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2NT | 2NT |
| Doug Edwards | 3\% | 2 | 24 | 4 | 2NT | Pass |
| Ed | 3\% | 29 | 1NT | 2 | 2NT | 3 |
| Gary Herrington | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2NT | 2NT |
| Greg | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2NT | 32 |
| James D | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2\% | 2NT |
| James Jacobson | 3\% | 3NT | $2 \vee$ | 4 | Pass | 24 |
| Jay Gala | 3\% | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 4 | 2NT | 2NT |
| JohnMcQ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2\% | 2NT |
| Jon Hoak | 2 | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 2 | 2s | 2NT |
| Jusie Clendening | 3\% | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 4V | Pass | Pass |
| Kevin C. | 3\% | 2 | 2 | 3 | Pass | 2NT |
| Kevin H. | 3\% | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 4 | 2s | 2NT |
| Lanny | 2 | 2 | $2 \vee$ | $6 \vee$ | Pass | 2NT |
| Linda | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4NT | Pass | 2NT |
| Mike Burns | 2 | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 49 | 2NT | 2NT |
| Mónica | 2 | 37 | 2 | 2 | 29 | 2NT |
| Nell Wickstrom | 2 | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 3 | 2\% | 2NT |
| Pam Campbell | 2* | 2 | 2 | 4 ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2\% | 2NT |
| Pete Outcalt | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2\% | 2NT |
| Phyllis | 2 | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 4NT | Pass | 2NT |
| Reeta B | 2 | 2 | 2 | $4 \checkmark$ | 2\% | 2NT |
| Rick Read | 3\% | 39 | $2 \vee$ | 4 | 2NT | 24 |
| Rod | 3\% | 2 | 39 | 44 | 2\% | 2NT |
| Steve Messinger | 2 | 2 | 24 | 4NT | 29 | 2NT |
| Vijay Doshi | 2 | 2 | 2 | $4 \checkmark$ | 24 | 2NT |
| Zigang Pan | 3\% | 39 | $2 \vee$ | 3\% | 2NT | 3* |

Bob Jones June 10 Quiz


