## Bob Jones June 17 Quiz

| Goren on Bridge: Weekly bridge quiz | Q 2 - North-South vulnerable |
| :---: | :---: |
| GOREN BRIDGE JUNE 17, 2023 | ¢K VKJ 8653 K 75 ¢9 85 |
| Q1 - Neither vulnerable | SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST |
| \&A 98ヶ42 Q 8753 * 98 | ? |
| NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST | What call would you make? |
| 1NT* 2*** ? | What call would you make? |
| *15-17 |  |
| **both majors |  |
| What call would you make? |  |
| Q 3 - East-West vulnerable | Q 4 - Both vulnerable |
| -Q 82 VAJT 985 - 654 |  |
| SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST |  |
| ? | SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST |
|  | 1\% Pass 14 Dbl |
| What call would you make? | ? |
|  | What call would you make? |
| Q 5 - North-South vulnerable | Q 6 - East-West vulnerable |
| ¢AK 954 ४ 4 K 863 ¢ K T 6 | ¢Q T 84 ¢ A J 64 -K 7 \& T |
| EAST SOUTH WEST NORTH | SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST |
| 1v 14 2v 2- | 1\% 2* 3** Pass |
| Pass Pass 3v Pass | ? |
| Pass ? | *Heart fit, at least invitational values |
| What call would you make? | What call would you make? |
|  | Look for answers on Monday. |

Question 1
Q1 - Neither vulnerable

NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST
1NT 2: ?


Bob Jones 2上 When investigating 3 NT , a cue bid in the suit shown by an opponent asks for a stopper in that suit. When the opponents have shown 2 suits, a cue bid shows a stopper in that suit. Bid 2a.

Steve Vogel 2NT (diamonds) Lots of options on this one. 1) I could bid 3NT and take the chance that partner has a heart stopper. 2) I could bid 2NT (diamonds), then follow up with 34, which partner should take as showing a stopper and asking about hearts. 3) I could pass for now, then bid spades asking about a heart stopper for 3 NT . I prefer the diamonds route, despite having a sub-par suit because it offers the best option to 3NT should partner not have a heart stopper.

Joe Muenks 2NT Playing Lebensohl, bid 2NT to relay to $3 \boldsymbol{k}$, then bid 3 V or 3 depending on whether you've agreed if it is asking or showing (standard is asking when the opponents show 1 suit and then telling when they've shown 2 , so you'll bid here).

Bob Fisk X I play "System on" over Double or $2 \boldsymbol{A}$, so the best I can do here is double as Stayman to show some values. If partner bids $2 \diamond$ (no 4 -card major), I'll cuebid 3A at my next turn to show my Spade stopper in an attempt to get to 3 NT . If partner bids $2 \boldsymbol{\downarrow}$, 'lll rebid $3 N T$. If partner bids $2 \boldsymbol{A}$, I'll cuebid $3 \vee$ (it can't be Baze on this auction) to show doubt about notrump.

Mike Ma 3NT I don't worry about stoppers when they show two suits. Let them worry about what suit to lead. Don't let advancer bid to help them figure out.

Steve Moese 3- We want to be in game and need to express doubt about stopping at least one of their suits. Partner should show their Major suit stopper, or bid 3NT with both stopped. 3NT by us directly would suggest both Majors stopped.
Double here should show the ability to double at least one of the Majors. We don't have that. 2 NT (Lebensohl) here then 3 is to play.
Some experts use flag bids in this circumstance. $2 \vee$ is a strong bid showing clubs and $2 \boldsymbol{A}$ is a strong bid showing Diamonds. That way 3 of a minor can invite, and 2NT here followed by 3 is to play.
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## Question 2

Q 2 - North-South vulnerable

SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST ?


Bob Jones $\underline{\mathbf{2 v}}$ We don't like to pre-empt with 2 control cards - aces or kings - outside our suit, but a singleton king has deflated value. Bid $2 \vee$.

Steve Vogel Pass The clear options are opening with $2 \vee$ or passing (I would not consider opening 19). At unfavorable vulnerability, I believe that having a quality suit is of paramount importance for an opening weak-2 bid (more than high card points), so I would pass with this mediocre suit.

Joe Muenks 2v The rule of 20/22 guides us so that no hand is too strong for a weak 2 and also too weak to open 1. All decent hands with a 6-card suit take action. Here we have 19 $[10+9] / 20[K x$ twice $]$, so let's preempt.

Bob Fisk Pass This hand has too many flaws to open $2 \checkmark$ (i.e., wasted values in my short suit, two outside features, bad trump). Ick.

Mike Ma Pass Suit quality not good enough for red vs white first seat preempt, and I have outside defense.

Steve Moese Pass What else? We have a weak hand and a weak suit. The $\boldsymbol{\$}$ might or might not be useful. Passing is conservative, but we are good-suit-when-vulnerable advocates in 1st and 2nd seat, especially at unfavorable vulnerability.
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## Question 3

Q 3 －East－West vulnerable
－Q 82 ソAJT 985 － 654
SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST ？


Bob Jones 2甲 Is this hand worth a 1－level opening？Not quite．Bid 2ソ．
Steve Vogel 1v With great intermediates in my suit，this hand definitely calls for an opening bid，but should I open $1 \vee$ or $2 \vee$ ？At favorable vulnerability，I prefer hedging a bit and opening $1 \uparrow$ ．I have an easy $2 \vee$ rebid over any response from partner．

Joe Muenks 1V Here we do not need to use 20／22，since we have a clear opener．I like to think that when I have AJTxxx or better，my partner will have 2 hearts and so I can count 2 points for a singleton assuming we have an 8 －card major，giving me a good 12 count．From time to time these backfires when it goes $1 \vee-1 \mathrm{NT}-2 \varphi$ ，but that is rare．

Bob Fisk $\underline{\mathbf{2 v}}$ All of the problems associated with the previous hand are no longer present（i．e．，nothing wasted in my short suit，fewer than two outside features，good trump）．

Mike Ma $\quad$ 1V White vs red，this is a $1 \vee$ or $3 \vee$ opening，not $2 \vee$ ．If my spades and diamonds are switched，I may consider $3 \vee$ ．

Steve Moese 2V Now this is a classic $2 \vee$ opening bid．Some open this an aggressive $1 \mathbf{~}$ and I respect that．
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Bob Jones 24 When the auction gets competitive, support partner if you can. Bid $\mathbf{2 4}$.

Steve Vogel XX This hand qualifies for a standard support redouble, and I would not consider any other bid (including 1NT), especially given my weakness in the unbid suits.

Joe Muenks XX You should play a support redouble if you play support doubles and this is the time to use it.

Bob Fisk XX Support redouble showing exactly three Spades. 100\%?

Mike Ma XX Playing support redouble, this is automatic.

Steve Moese XX Show 3 spades. Partner will know what to do next. Support redoubles are a good thing.


Bob Jones Pass You need 9 combined trumps to compete to the 3 -level. Partner would likely have bid again with 4 -card support. Pass.
Steve Vogel $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ With a singleton in the opponent's suit and a decent non-minimum hand, I would reject passing in this auction. My top choices are to take the push to 34 or to double turning the decision over to partner. It is possible that partner has a decent heart holding and would choose to defend. If not, I would expect him/her to bid 34. I prefer the double over bidding 3 to open up the possibility of collecting a decent penalty.

Joe Muenks Pass Woo Hoo, they got too high and we're going plus. The law of total tricks will frequently allow us, with a singleton heart, to bid 34, but there's no guarantee we'll make it. I think the chances AK cashes is very high so the opponents are likely going down and I want to go plus this hand.
Bob Fisk Pass West is trying to goad you into bidding 34. Don't do it. North doesn't have four Hearts, or North would have either bid $3 \boldsymbol{1}$ immediately or competed to $3 \boldsymbol{\$}$ over West's $3 v$ bid. Are you going to enjoy ruffing multiple rounds of Hearts while trying to find an eighth (much less ninth) trick? You are not.

Mike Ma 34 Need to know more about systems, e.g. if they play Bergen. Also, I am assuming MP. Likely they have 9 hearts, and I assume we have 8 (if 9 , partner can bid 3 - if I pass). This is 8 over 9 situation. I doubt they can double with my good spades.

Steve Moese Pass Partner's raise and pass shows 3 -card support. We are vulnerable. They have a 9 -card fit. The Law of total trumps says this is a 17 trump hand (our 8 plus their 9 ) so 17 tricks combined are available. That means if they are making 9 , we make 8. At unfavorable vulnerability I stay off. Besides, the singleton heart means partner has 3 hearts. It appears we will have a difficult time finding ruffs in the short hand. That's where extra tricks come from. Ruffing in the long trump hand doesn't yield an extra trick unless we can ruff 3 times, and that ain't happening.

## Question 6

Q 6 - East-West vulnerable

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { SOUTH } & \text { WEST NORTH } & \text { EAST } \\ 1 \vee & 2 \% & 3 \% & \text { Pass }\end{array}$


Bob Jones 4- Don't show the opponents your second suit. Bid 4『
Steve Vogel 4e Partner is unlimited and I have a nice hand with 16 HCP , so signing off at $4 V$ is too meek for me. My top choices are to bid 34 showing my second suit (although partner may take that as a cuebid) or to cuebid 4\%. I prefer the bid, as it definitely shows a club control (either singleton or the Ace) and tends to deny a spade control. If partner rebids 4 》, I will then bid $4 \checkmark$ leaving it up to partner to bid on if he/she has additional strength.

Joe Muenks 4Y I normally am inclined to participate in potential slam bidding with any extras at all, but I think a jump to game announcing 15 or so playing points is plenty. I do not like my spades at all, I'm not thrilled about the position of the $\forall K$ and it feels like that $2^{\text {nd }}$ round club loser will be opened right after the opening lead, yucky hand for 16 HCP.

Bob Fisk 4V While you'd like to bid 3 to try and locate a 4-4 Spade fit, partner will most likely interpret that as a control bid (i.e., slam try). That could get awkward.

Mike Ma 4요 I would bid 3NT if playing Serious or Frivolous 3NT. Assuming not, I need to let partner know I have a good hand, not just enough for game.

Steve Moese 4e While many "bid out their pattern" that's right below 3 of our trump suit. 34 now would show a control (A or K) for Hearts. We don't have that. Since partner is unlimited we show out club control and await further direction.
Note when we bypass spades to control bid clubs, partner knows we do not have the A/K of spades. If partner has the spade control, slam interest but no diamond control, what can they do? That's an expert topic you might explore - search Last Train Control Bids.
https://www.acblunit390.org/Simon/lasttrain.htm
For aspiring experts it's good to learn about frivolous/serious 3NT and the Last Train control bid. They are good tools to have in your slam bidding arsenal.
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|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bob Jones | 24 | $2 \vee$ | $2 \vee$ | 24 | Pass | 4V |
| Steve Vogel | 2NT | Pass | 19 | XX | X | 40 |
| Joe Muenks | 2NT | $2 \vee$ | 19 | XX | Pass | 4 |
| Bob Fisk | X | Pass | $2 \vee$ | XX | Pass | 4 |
| Mike Ma | 3NT | Pass | 19 | XX | 34 | 40 |
| Steve Moese | 3 | Pass | $2 \vee$ | XX | Pass | 40 |
| BF: If I was a medical professional, I'd change my name to Dr. Awkward. How cool would that be? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Al Venosa | 3 | $2 \vee$ | 19 | XX | X | $4 \checkmark$ |
| Bob K | 3NT | Pass | 19 | 1NT | 34 | 40 |
| Carl Willig | 3NT | $2 \vee$ | 19 | 24 | 34 | 34 |
| Carol Wilson | X | Pass | $2 \vee$ | 1NT | Pass | $4{ }^{4}$ |
| Cecilia | 34 | $2 \vee$ | Pass | 1NT | 34 | $4 V$ |
| Chuck | 3NT | Pass | 19 | 1NT | 34 | 4 |
| Dave | 3NT | $2 \vee$ | $2 \vee$ | XX | X | $4 \checkmark$ |
| Dean Congbalay | Pass | $2 \vee$ | $2 \vee$ | XX | 34 | 4 |
| Doug Edwards | 3NT | Pass | $1{ }^{1}$ | XX | 34 | 4V |
| Ed | 3 | $2 \vee$ | Pass | 2 2 | 34 | 4V |
| Gary Busch | 2NT | $2 \downarrow$ | $2 \downarrow$ | XX | 34 | 4NT |
| Gary Herrington | X | Pass | 19 | 1NT | Pass | 40 |
| Greg | 2NT | Pass | $2 \vee$ | 24 | Pass | 40 |
| James D | 3 | Pass | $2 \vee$ | XX | Pass | 4 |
| James Jacobson | 3NT | $2 \vee$ | $2 \vee$ | 1NT | Pass | 4 |
| Jay Gala | 3NT | Pass | $2 \vee$ | 1NT | Pass | 4 |
| JohnMcQ | 3NT | Pass | $2 \vee$ | XX | 34 | 3 |
| Jusie Clendening | 3NT | 27 | $2 \downarrow$ | XX | Pass | $4 \vee$ |
| Kevin C. | 3NT | Pass | $2 \vee$ | 1NT | Pass | 4 |
| Lanny | Pass | 27 | 19 | XX | 34 | 4 |
| Linda | X | Pass | $2 \vee$ | XX | Pass | 4 |
| Mike Burns | 2NT | Pass | 18 | Pass | Pass | 4 |
| Mónica | 3NT | $2 \vee$ | 19 | XX | 34 | 3 |
| Pam Campbell | 34 | Pass | 19 | XX | N/A | 4 |
| Pete Outcalt | 3NT | Pass | $2 \vee$ | XX | Pass | 49 |
| Phyllis | 3\% | Pass | $2 \vee$ | 1NT | 34 | 4 |
| Rick Read | 24 | $2 \vee$ | 18 | 24 | 34 | 4 |
| Rod | 2 | Pass | $2 \vee$ | 1NT | Pass | 34 |
| Steve Messinger | 37 | Pass | $2 \vee$ | 1NT | 34 | 4 |
| Vijay Doshi | 3NT | $2 \vee$ | $2 \vee$ | XX | X | 4 |
| Zigang Pan | 3 | Pass | 17 | 1NT | Pass | $3)$ |
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