## Bob Jones March 11 Quiz



Question 1
Q1 - Neither vulnerable

WEST NORTH EAST SOUTH
30 3 Pass ?

Bob Jones 4쏘 Too strong to pass. Bid 4@. What else?

Steve Vogel 4스 Give partner AK of spades, 2 Kings in the minors and even a doubleton in hearts, and we have a play for 4థ. And partner may have more. I can't leave partner in 3 $\mathbf{~}$ when so many possible holdings will produce game.

Joe Muenks 4@ Recall, partner in the direct seat will deliver full values for their bid, so given the wide range of the call, I'd take out insurance that it makes.
 for half of the remaining Spades and half of the remaining points. If I give righty 2-7-2-2 shape and and eight HCP (reasonable) and I have 6-1-3-3 shape and 14 HCP, that leaves the average remaining hand holding nine HCP, 2.5 Spades, 2.5 Hearts, and 4-4 in the minors. The hand shown above is almost exactly that ( $1 / 2$ too few Spades and one extra point). With that hand, I want partner to pass my 34. Is it possible that this hand is enough for partner to make 4@? Of course it is. Could $3 \boldsymbol{A}$ be down one? Of course it could.

Steve Moese Pass Iam on the fence here. Partner should have a sound opening hand for a 34 overcall. I have a good 10 HCP , but my shape is poor. 3 small hearts and East's pass suggest we could have 2 losers in this suit. AKxxx xx Kxx Kxx is a certain overcall, but 4is is far from certain with my hand. Note this hand has 9 losers. That means partner needs a 6 card Spade suit and only 5 losers to make game our way. A club finesse rates to work and a diamond finesse rates to fail. I pass at matchpoint pairs but bid game at IMPs.

## Question 2

Q 2 - North-South vulnerable

- 74 ソ Q 4 -A Q 875 \$ 76

?


Bob Jones $\underline{\mathbf{2 v}}$ If you do not play support doubles, don't worry about the lack of a fourth heart. Bid 2V.

Steve Vogel $\underline{\mathbf{X}} \quad$ My partners and I play support doubles, and this is a classic case. If we did not play support doubles, I would instead raise to $2 \vee$ rather than passing or rebidding diamonds.

Joe Muenks $\underline{\mathbf{X}} \quad$ Most play that this shows 3 hearts and any strength, a support double. If I were not playing support doubles, I pass since partner will bid on with most hands.

Bob Fisk $\underline{X} \quad$ Support double showing exactly three Hearts. Bridge has never been easier!

Steve Moese $\underline{\mathbf{X}} \quad$ The support double was made for these situations so I use it. No support double? Then if your partnership allows for a raise on 3 card support, try that here. If not, pass shows an original weak NT type hand (minimum balanced shape) which is what we have. When do you raise on 3 cards? See Steve Weinstein's post on Bridgewinners: https://bridgewinners.com/article/view/1m-1m-now-what/
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## Question 3

Q 3 －East－West vulnerable
－K T 9754 － 7 \＆Q A 8752
SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST ？


Bob Jones 24 $1 \boldsymbol{4}$ or $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ ？We like $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ ．If the queen of diamonds was a spade or a club， then 14.

Steve Vogel Pass They say＂6－5，come alive＂and I plan to do so，just later in the auction．I do not feel that my hand is worth an opening bid of 14（although I know others would do so）． Opening 24 risks getting left there when game can often be on．I also feel that this hand is not right for either $3 \boldsymbol{4}$ or 4 ．Given that I have spades（the master suit），I do not think I can be shut out of the bidding，and I will be able to come in later and hopefully do justice to this hand．

Joe Muenks 2里 I might later bid some clubs since this hand is offensive oriented，but let＇s see the next 3 bids so I can judge whether that makes any sense．

Bob Fisk 2人 If the auction goes 20－（Double）－Pass－（3ヶ），I＇ll rebid 4\％．We love being not so vulnerable against very vulnerable．Heh．

Steve Moese 19 6－5 come alive！
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## Question 4

Q 4 - Both vulnerable
-Q 875 QJT 6 * 5 AT 92

| NORTH EAST | SOUTH | WEST |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1NT | Pass | $2 \boldsymbol{2}$ | Pass |
| 2 | Pass | $?$ |  |



Bob Jones 3NT The great intermediate cards make this hand worth a game force. Bid 3NT.

Steve Vogel 3NT The question is whether to upgrade this hand based on the great intermediates. I would unquestionably rebid 3NT in imps, but it is a closer call in matchpoints. Still, I think 3NT should have decent play (subject to a bad diamond situation), and I would go ahead and bid it.

Joe Muenks 2NT You like your T9 and solid heart run but have 5 pts tied up in q-jacks and shortness in diamonds is not an asset (if partner has good diamonds that leaves her fewer pts to set up your 4 card suits).

Bob Fisk 2NT I have an invitational hand after a 15-17 1NT opening. Nuff said.
Steve Moese 3NT A more conservative 2NT might pay off, however IMP odds favor being in game when vulnerable. You are in a $36 \%$ game opposite 15 HCP - the breakeven point for bidding it in IMPs. The odds swing well above $50 \%$ opposite 16 and 17 HCP. Inviting with 2NT might be prudent at Matchpoints, but you will miss many game bonuses when partner demurs. For more on Optimal IMP Strategy, I recommend the study by Richard Pavlicek at http://www.rpbridge.net/8j25.htm\#1 (Warning - lots of double dummy detail).
From R. Pavlicek and the frequency of 15,16 , and 17 HCP hands a 3 NT bid here is $50 \%$ likely to succeed. Turns out that 15 HCP occur $4.4 \%$ and succeed $36 \%$. 16 HCP occur $3.3 \%$ and succeed $54 \%$. 17 HCP occur $2.2 \%$ and succeed $70 \%$. (Declarer play can be better than DD analysis. Defending is hard.).
Question 5
Q 5 - North-South vulnerable


| SOUTH | WEST | NORTH | EAST |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10 | Pass | $1 N T$ | $3 \mathbf{~} \mathbf{~}$ |
| Pass | Pass | Dbl | Pass |
| $?$ |  |  |  |



Bob Jones 3 The double announces that partner is too good to pass and defend undoubled. It asks you to do something intelligent. $3>$ looks intelligent to us.

Steve Vogel 3 Given my 5-5 shape and less HCP than partner will expect, I believe the prudent action is go on offense with a $3>$ bid.

Joe Muenks Pass I'm leading the $\boldsymbol{~ K ~ a b o u t ~ n o w . ~ T h i s ~ i s ~ p e n a l t y ~ w h e n ~} 1 \mathrm{NT}$ is $6-9$ as partner has 4 clubs and something on the outside, but this is definitely penalty when playing 1 nt forcing as partner may not have good clubs but can also have any $11+$ with short spades.

Bob Fisk 3 Partner's double isn't for penalty--it's for takeout. Picture partner with 2-4-5-2 shape and nine HCP. If you have a partner that doubles 3 because "I thought I could beat it partner," get a new partner. If partner has that hand, partner should have bid 3NT (vulnerable vs. not).

Steve Moese 3-Partner's double is takeout (for the red suits). With long clubs they pass. Note please that a double by opener immediately over $3 \boldsymbol{N}$ is takeout or a very strong balanced hand (18-19).
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Question 6
Q 6 - East-West vulnerable
↔AJ 83 -AK
WEST NORTH EAST SOUTH

1. Pass 10 ?

Question 6


Bob Jones 1NT After they bid 2 suits, a double promises at least 4 cards in each of the unbid suits. Your clubs are short. Bid 1NT

Steve Vogel 1NT My partners and I play that a 1NT overcall by an unpassed hand in this auction shows a strong NT, so that is a possible bid. With an opponent's vulnerable opening bid and response, the chances of game are small, so we are fighting for a part score. Is a double or 1NT overcall better suited for that goal? I am not sure, and a 1NT overcall is riskier. Given the vulnerability, I am willing to take that risk to better describe my hand.

Joe Muenks Pass With most partners I'm going to have to pass as everything else has other meanings. Playing standard we bid 1NT showing 15-18 with their suits stopped, which also sets up any double by partner to be penalty.

Bob Fisk Pass I don't play that a 1NT bid in "sandwich position" shows a 1NT opener, rather I play it as a weakish takeout double for the unbid suits (picture AJxx xx xx KQxxx).

Steve Moese 1NT No sandwich NT for me. I have about half my hand in their suits. Partner might be broke.
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|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bob Jones | 49 | $2 \vee$ | 24 | 3NT | 3 | 1NT |
| Steve Vogel | 49 | X | Pass | 3NT | 3 | 1NT |
| Joe Muenks | 44 | X | 24 | 2NT | Pass | Pass |
| Bob Fisk | Pass | X | 24 | 2NT | 3 | Pass |
| Steve Moese | Pass | X | 19 | 3NT | 3 | 1NT |

SM: This was one of the tougher sets in a long time. Be sure to get a team for the D11 GNT Finals April 15 \& 16 at the CBC.

| Al Venosa | 49 | $2 \vee$ | 19 | 2NT | 3 | X |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AnnR | 49 | $2 \vee$ | 24 | 2NT | Pass | 1NT |
| Bob K | 49 | $2 \vee$ | 24 | 2NT | 3 | 1NT |
| Carl Willig | 4, | $2 \vee$ | 24 | 2NT | 3 | Pass |
| Carol Wilson | 49 | $2 \vee$ | 24 | 2NT | 3 | X |
| Chuck | Pass | 2 | 24 | 2NT | 3 | 1NT |
| Dave | 49 | X | 19 | 2NT | 3 | 1NT |
| Dean Congbalay | 49 | X | 24 | 2NT | Pass | Pass |
| Doug Edwards | Pass | $2 \vee$ | 19 | 2NT | Pass | X |
| Ed | 49 | $2 \vee$ | 24 | 2NT | 3 | X |
| Greg | Pass | 2 | Pass | 2NT | 3 | 1NT |
| James Jacobson | 49 | 2 | 24 | 2NT | 3 | X |
| Jay Gala | 49 | X | 19 | 3NT | 3 | X |
| JohnMcQ | 49 | 29 | 24 | 2NT | 3 | 1NT |
| Kevin C. | Pass | X | 24 | 2NT | Pass | Pass |
| Kevin H. | 49 | X | 19 | 3NT | 3 | 1NT |
| Linda | Pass | X | 19 | 2NT | 3 | X |
| Liz | Pass | 2 | 19 | 3\% | Pass | X |
| Martha | 49 | X | 19 | 2NT | 3 | Pass |
| Mike Burns | Pass | X | 24 | 2NT | 3 | 19 |
| Mónica | 49 | X | 19 | 2NT | 3 | X |
| Nell Wickstrom | 49 | X | 24 | 2NT | 4 | X |
| Pam Campbell | 4 | X | 19 | 2NT | 3 | X |
| Pete Outcalt | 49 | X | 24 | 2NT | 3 | Pass |
| Phyllis | Pass | X | 24 | 2NT | 3 | 1NT |
| Reeta B | 49 | X | 24 | 2NT | 3 | X |
| Rick Read | 49 | 39 | 19 | 2NT | 3 | X |
| Rod | 49 | 29 | 19 | 3NT | 4 | 1NT |
| Zigang Pan | 49 | 2 | Pass | 2NT | 3 | 1NT |
|  | 49 | X | 3s | 2NT | 3 | 1NT |
|  | Pass | 37 | Pass | 2NT | Pass | 19 |
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