## Bob Jones March 16 Quiz

Goren on Bridge：Weekly bridge quiz GOREN BRIDGE MARCH 16， 2024
Q 1 －Neither vulnerable
\＆KJT7ヶ73＊QJ976422
SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST ？

What call would you make？
Q 3－East－West vulnerable

WEST NORTH EAST SOUTH
12．Pass 14 ？
What call would you make？

Q 5 －North－South vulnerable
－QJ84ソK 3 －87542＊75
WEST NORTH EAST SOUTH
1v 14 Dbl＊？
＊Negative
What call would you make？

Q 2 －North－South vulnerable

NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST
1NT＊2v ？
＊15－17
What call would you make？

Q 4 －Both vulnerable
－Void ¥JT 76 KT 8763 K Q 3
NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST
14 2 ？
What call would you make？

Q 6 －East－West vulnerable
＾Void 『T 3 \＆Q 9763 AKJ 73
SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST
1＊1ヵ Dbl＊2＊
？
＊Negative
What call would you make？
Look for answers on Monday．

## Bob Jones March 16 Quiz

## Question 1

Q 1 - Neither vulnerable
\&KJT7ツ73*QJ9764*2
SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST ?


Bob Jones Pass Four low spades would not keep us from pre-empting, but four good ones will. Pass.

Steve Vogel Pass Some play that anything goes for a $1^{\text {st }}$ seat preempt, but that is not my approach. I have more points in my spade suit than my diamond suit, and I would choose to pass and await further developments.

Joe Muenks Pass I'm old-fashioned, with 4 prime cards in spades, in first seat, I'm not risking preempting our side out of our best contract.

Bob Fisk Pass Even if I played 2 as weak, I'd still pass. Partner holds AQxx xxx Kx Axxx, and we belong in 44.

Steve Moese Pass Some will make a weak two with any six-card suit. I prefer a disciplined approach in the first two seats. The 4 -card spade suit disqualifies opening $2 \diamond$, and this hand is far from a 1 opening bid.

Mike Ma Pass I mentioned before that my dividing line to preempt first seat with a 4card side major is if that suit is headed by the $Q$ or less. If $Q$, then I examine other features like the texture of that suit. Anyway, KJT7 is not even close to that dividing line.
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## Question 2 <br> Q 2 - North-South vulnerable <br>  <br> NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST <br> 1NT 2v ?



Bob Jones $\underline{\mathbf{X}} \quad$ In the old days, a double here was for penalties. Modern players treat it as take-out, which is far more useful. Double.

Steve Vogel $\underline{\mathbf{X}} \quad$ There are a lot of options for how people play a double of a 2-level overcall of an opening strong 1 NT bid, but I prefer it to be primarily for takeout.

Joe Muenks Pass This would be a great hand to play weak negative doubles at the 2 level in this auction like some experts do, but I play Lebensohl so there are no bids to make here.

Bob Fisk $\underline{X} \quad$ I play double as negative showing competitive values or better (i.e., 6+). I don't care what partner bids. Maybe partner has the same hand I showed for Question \#1 plus the $\mathbf{N}$ and we're on a finesse to make $5 \mathbf{N}$. What fun!

Steve Moese 24 Nonforcing. Will LHO raise hearts? Will partner double? Let's find out.
Mike Ma Pass I play penalty $X$, so I have no choice here. With some partners, I do have well worked out method for opener to balance with $3 \downarrow$. Occasionally opener will have 4 hearts and cannot reopen. C'est la vie.
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## Question 3

Q 3-East-West vulnerable

WEST NORTH EAST SOUTH
1* Pass 14?


Bob Jones 1NT A 1NT bid here must be discussed with partner, but we like it as strong, 15-18. Bid 1NT.

Steve Vogel 1NT These days, pairs are opening lighter and responding lighter. Accordingly, I prefer to play that a 1 NT overcall in this auction is the normal 15-18 HCP. I like the overcall better than a takeout double as it more narrowly communicates my holding to partner, who is now in a better position to place the contract.

Joe Muenks 1NT With half my partners I can overcall this as a natural NT. It would be really dangerous except that folks open so light nowadays we worry less about a big penalty. With half my partners I must pass and defend since a NT here shows a weak hand 5-5+ red.

Bob Fisk Pass How many points do you think partner has? If lefty has 12 and righty has eight (not unreasonable), partner has only three. I expect lefty to rebid 1NT, and I'd rather have them go down one in $1 \mathrm{NT}(+100)$ than have us possibly make 1NT (+90). It's much harder to make 1NT with 17 opposite 3 than it is to make 1 NT with 12 opposite 8 . Look it up on Wikipedia if you don't believe me.

Steve Moese 1NT Natural and not Sandwich for me. Yes, they have about 18 HCP. Let them find their fit. The first to 1NT often wins.

Mike Ma 1NT I play natural NT here, which is really preferable in these days of ultralight opening AND response. Simple Bridge is best.
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Bob Jones Pass A negative double would not be terrible, but we prefer to make a trap pass, hoping partner will re-open with double, which we will then pass. Pass.

Steve Vogel Pass Vulnerable with a void in partner's suit, I would choose to more cautious and pass at this point. Other alternatives are to bid $2 \mathrm{NT}, 3$ or double, and each of those has its merits. Of those three, my second choice is a negative double, where I would convert a bid by partner to $3 \$$. My greatest concern is that partner will rebid spades.

Joe Muenks $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$ Whereas you could pass and pull partner's mandatory double to 3 *, that should be a weaker hand, so we'll lie a little about our minor suit lengths with a negative double. Partner will not bid a simple $3 *$ or 3 with extras.

Bob Fisk $\quad \underline{\mathbf{X}} \quad$ Bidding 3 now feels a tad aggressive (do I really want partner to rebid 4 ? ?). I'll double and bid 3 over 2 to show a long diamond suit, no spade tolerance, and invitational values. If partner bids $3 \uparrow$ over $3 \Downarrow$, I'll bid 3 NT . Bridge is easy!

Steve Moese Pass The spade void puts me off a negative double.
Mike Ma Pass Not good enough to bid $3 \downarrow$. 2NT is awful. I can X and correct opener's 24 or 3 to 3 , but I can't know if that is right, and if partner bids 4 4 ? !!!. Over partner's expected reopening $X$ of my pass, maybe I'll try 3 NT . If partner does not reopen, maybe defending $2 \boldsymbol{V}$ is right. If advancer raise, I hope to be able to double $3 \boldsymbol{Y}$.
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Question 5Q 5 - North-South vulnerable
WEST NORTH EAST SOUTH
1v 14 Dbl

Question 5



Bob Jones 34 Bid 34, showing 4-card support and, at this vulnerability, 5-7 points.

Steve Vogel 29 We are vulnerable, the value of my $\mathrm{VK}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is dubious, and I have no shortness. Another hand where I would be cautious and avoid a preemptive jump to $3 \boldsymbol{A}$.

Joe Muenks 29 With a poorly placed K` , even with 4 trump I'd tread lightly since the opponents haven't found a fit and might not.

Bob Fisk 39 Don't shy away from the correct bid because of the vulnerability. If the opponents want to play in 4e, let em.

Steve Moese 24 DON'T jump to 3s at unfavorable vulnerability when the $K \boldsymbol{V}$ might not be working and you have no values in the minors (what RHO's double shows). Nope.

Mike Ma 34 Hard to see how this can be our hand. Put the pressure on in spite of the $9 K x$. It is difficult for them to $X$ us when we have 9 spades, and my $Q J$ make the suit decent no matter what partner's spades are.
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## Question 6

Q 6 - East-West vulnerable

-     - V T 3 Q 97653 \& K J 73

SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST
1* 14 Dbl 2
?


Bob Jones 5e A big spade raise is sure to be coming from East. You will have to bid this later anyway, so do it now. Bid 5\%.

Steve Vogel 3今 My plan when I opened this hand was to bid clubs at my next turn. The fact that the opponents have found a spade fit makes my hand even more offensively oriented. If we have a minor suit fit and partner has the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, game is on the table.

Joe Muenks $3 \boldsymbol{3}$ This hand begs to be declarer, so I'll bid clubs now and possibly again to force partner to pick a minor.

Bob Fisk 39 Partner's double didn't promise clubs, but you were always going to get both of your suits in the auction, weren't you? Picture partner with xxx xxxx Kx Qxxx, and you have a great sacrifice in $5 \boldsymbol{\mathcal { E }}$ over 4ゆ (down one doubled). Sweet!

Steve Moese 30 "6-5 come alive" Warn partner off hearts and show them 10 of your 11 minor suit cards.

Mike Ma 590 $\quad$ Partner may not have clubs, and may have the worst hand for us, something like $4=6=1=2$, not enough to bid $2 \boldsymbol{V}$. But no reason to count on the worst. Put the pressure on with favorable vul. Let opps make the last guess.

| 03/16/24 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bob Jones | Pass | X | 1NT | Pass | 34 | 5\% |
| Steve Vogel | Pass | X | 1NT | Pass | 24 | 3\% |
| Joe Muenks | Pass | Pass | 1NT | X | 24 | 3\% |
| Bob Fisk | Pass | X | Pass | X | 34 | 3\% |
| Steve Moese | Pass | 24 | 1NT | Pass | 24 | 3\% |


| Al Venosa | Pass | X | X | X | $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ | X |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anne Cobb | Pass | Pass | 2 | 3 | 24 | 30 |
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| The Field: |  |  | Question 2 <br> 43 responses <br> Pass <br> - Double <br> - 2 NT <br> $2 S$ <br> 3 |  |  |  | questo <br> 43 respon <br> P Pass <br> - 3D <br> - Deub <br> - 2 NT |  | Question 5 <br> 43 responses <br> - 25 <br> e 35 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 03/16/24 | 1 | Q1-Neither vulnerable \&KJT7*73 \& QJ 9764 \&2 SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST ? | 2 | Q2-North-South vulnerable *K197~5 \& Q86 6 T9864 NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST 1NT $2 \mathbf{V}$ ? | 3 | Q 3-East-West vulnerable <br> \& AT 74 *K 73 \& AK $53 *$ KT <br> WEST NORTH EAST SOUTH <br> 14) Pass 14 ? | 4 | Q 4 - Both vulnerable <br> \& $-v$ JT 76 \& KT 87634 KQ <br> NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST 1* 2v ? | 5 | Q5 - North-South vulnerable \&QJ 84 *K 3 \& 87542 \& 75 WEST NORTH EAST SOUTH 1v 1* Dbl ? | 6 | Q6 - East-West vulnerable *- VT 3 \&Q9 7653 \&AKJ 73 SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST $\begin{array}{lll}1 * & 14 & \text { Dbl } \\ ?\end{array}$ |
| Bob Jones | Pass | Four low spades would not keep us from pre-empting, but four good ones will. Pass. | x | In the old days. a double here was for penalties. Modem players treat It as take-out, which is far more useful. Double. | 1 NT | A 1NT bid here must be discussed with partner, but we like it as strong 15-18. Bid 1NT. | Pass | A negative double would not be terrible. but we prefer to make a trap pass, hoping partner will re-open with double, which we will then pass. Pass. | 34 | Bid 34. showing 4-card support and, at this vulnerability, 5-7 points. | 5 | A big spade raise is sure to be coming from East. You will have to bid this later anyway, so do it now. Bid 54. |
| Steve Vogel | Pass | Some play that anything goes for a 1st seat preempt, but that is not my approach. I have more points in my spade suit than my diamond suit, and I would choose to pass and await further developments. | x | There are a lot of options for how people play a double of a 2 -level overcall of an opening strong 1NT bid, but I prefer it to be primarily for takeout. | 1 NT | These days, pairs are opening lighter and responding lighter. Accordingly, I prefer to play that a 1 NT overcall in this auction is the normal $15-18 \mathrm{HCP}$. this auction is the normal $15-18$ like the overcall better than a takeout double as it more narrowly communicates my holding to partner. who is now in a better position to place the contract. | Pass |  | 2. | We are vulnerable, the value of my $\% \mathrm{~K}$ is dubious, and I have no shortness. Another hand where I would be cautious and avoid a preemptive jump to 3 . | 3 | My plan when I opened this hand was to bid clubs at my next turn. The fact that the opponents have found a spade fit makes my hand even more offensively oriented. If we have a minor suit fit and partner has the VA. game is on the table. |
| Joe Muenks | Pass | \|rm old-fashioned. with 4 prime cards in spades, in first seat. Im not risking preempting our side out of our best contract. | Pass | This would be a great hand to play weak negative doubles at the 2 level in this auction like some experts do, but I play Lebensohl so there are no bids to make here. | 1 NT | With half my partners I can overcall this as a natural NT. It would be really dangerous except that folks open so light nowadays we worry less about a big penalty. With half my partners I must pass and defend since a NT here shows a weak hand 5-5 5 red. | x | Whereas you could pass and pull partners mandatory double to 36 , that should be a weaker hand. so well lie a nittle about our minor suit lengths with a negative double. Partner will not bld a simple 34 or 36 with extras. | 2. | With a poorly placed $\mathrm{K} \geqslant$, even with 4 trump Td tread lighty since the opponents havent found a fit and might not. | 30 | This hand begs to be declarer, so rill bid clubs now and possibly again to force partner to pick a minor. |
| Bob Fisk | Pass | Even ifl played 24 as weak, rod still pass. Partner holds AQxx $x 0 x$ KX Axock, and we belong in 44. | X | play double as negative showing competitive values or better (i.e.. 6+). I don't care what partner bids. Maybe partner has the same hand I showed for Question \#1 plus the 4 Q and we're on a finesse to make 54. What fun! | Pass | How many points do you think partner has? If lefty has 12 and righty has eight (not unreasonable), partner has only three. I expect lefty to rebid 1NT, and I'd rather have them go down one in $1 \mathrm{NT}(+100)$ than have us possibly make 1 NT ( +90 ). It's much harder to make 1NT with 17 opposite 3 than it is to make 1 NT with 12 opposite 8. Look it up on Wikipedia if you don't believe me. | x | Bidding 34 now feels a tad aggressive (do I really want partner to rebid 4*?). III double and bid 34 over 2 to show a long diamond suit, no spade tolerance, and invitational values. If partner bids $3 \mathbf{v}$ over 34, Ill bid 3 NT . Bridge is easy! | 3. | Dont shy away from the correct bid because of the vulnerability. If the opponents want to play in 4 * let em. | 34 | Partner's double didn't promise clubs, but you were always going to get both of your suits in the auction, weren't you? Picture parther with xox xooox Kx Qxoo, and you have a great sacrifice in 5 4 over 44 (down one doubled). Sweet! |
| Steve Moese | Pass | Some will make a weak two with any six-card sult. I prefer a disciplined approach in the first two seats. The 4-card spade suit disqualifies opening 24 , and this hand is far from a $1+$ opening bid. | 2. | Nonforcing. Will LHO raise hearts? Will partner double? Let's find out. | 1 NT | Natural and not Sandwich for me. Yes, they have about 18 HCP . Let them find their fit. The first to 1NT often wins. | Pass | The spade void puts me off a negative double. | 2. | DONT Jump to 3e at unfavorable vulnerability when the KV might not be working and you have no values in the minors (what RHO's double shows). Nope. | 3* | -6-5 come alive ${ }^{-}$Warn partner off hearts and show them 10 of your 11 minor sult cards. |
| Al Venosa | Pass |  | X |  | X |  | X |  | 2 |  | X |  |
| Anne Cobb | Pass |  | Pass |  | 24 |  | 34 |  | 2 |  | 3* |  |
| AnnR | Pass |  | X |  | $\times$ |  | Pass |  | 2. |  | 3* |  |
| Bob K | 2. |  | X |  | 1NT |  | $3{ }^{4}$ |  | 2 |  | 34 |  |
| Carl Willig | Pass |  | 2 NT |  | Pass |  | Pass |  | 2 |  | 30 |  |
| Cathy w | Pass |  | Pass |  | 1NT |  | 3. |  | 3. |  | $3{ }^{4}$ |  |
| Chuck | Pass |  | X |  | 1NT |  | 34 |  | 2 * |  | 3* |  |
| Dave | Pass |  | X |  | Pass |  | Pass |  | $3 \stackrel{*}{*}$ |  | 36 |  |
| Dean Congbalay | Pass |  | Pass |  | Pass |  | Pass |  | 2 |  | 3 |  |
| Doug Edwards | Pass |  | Pass |  | X |  | Pass |  | 2 |  | Pass |  |
| Ed | Pass |  | Pass |  | Pass |  | Pass |  | 2. |  | 3. |  |
| Gary Busch | 2. |  | X |  | X |  | 3. |  | 2. |  | $3 *$ |  |
| Gary Herrington | Pass |  | Pass |  | X |  | Pass |  | $2 *$ |  | $3 *$ |  |
| George Kent | Pass |  | X |  | 1NT |  | Pass |  | 3* |  | Pass |  |
| Greg | Pass |  | Pass |  | 1NT |  | X |  | 2. |  | $3 *$ |  |
| James D | Pass |  | X |  | Pass |  | 34 |  | 2. |  | 3 3 |  |
| James Jacobson | Pass |  | Pass |  | X |  | 34 |  | $2 *$ |  | 3* |  |
| Jay Gala | Pass |  | X |  | Pass |  | 3. |  | 3. |  | 3 * |  |
| Jim Barrett | Pass |  | Pass |  | Pass |  | Pass |  | 2 |  | 34 |  |
| JohnMca | Pass |  | X |  | X |  | 34 |  | 2* |  | 3* |  |
| Jon Hoak | Pass |  | X |  | 1NT |  | Pass |  | 3. |  | 5 |  |
| Jusie Clendening | Pass |  | Pass |  | 1NT |  | Pass |  | 2. |  | x |  |
| Kevin C. | Pass |  | X | Negative | Pass |  | 34 |  | $3 \stackrel{1}{*}$ |  | 30 |  |
| Kevin H . | Pass |  | Pass |  | 1NT |  | 2NT |  | 2. |  | 3 |  |
| Lanny | Pass |  | X | $2 \%$ is natural | 1 NT | 15-18 | Pass |  | 3. |  | 5* |  |
| Linda | Pass |  | 2NT | Lebensohl | Pass |  | 34 |  | 2 |  | 34 |  |
| Mike Burns | Pass |  | Pass |  | X |  | 3. |  | 2. |  | 3 |  |
| Mike Ma | Pass |  | Pass |  | 1NT |  | Pass |  | 3. |  | 5 |  |
| Mónica | Pass |  | Pass |  | 1NT |  | 34 |  | $2 *$ |  | 3* |  |
| Nell Wickstrom | Pass |  | Pass |  | Pass |  | 3 |  | 2. |  | 3* |  |
| Pete Outcalt | Pass |  | Pass |  | Pass |  | Pass |  | 34 |  | Pass |  |
| Phyllis | Pass |  | X |  | 1 NT |  | X |  | 3* |  | $3 \times$ |  |
| Rick Read | Pass |  | 34 |  | X |  | Pass |  | 2. |  | x |  |
| Rod | Pass |  | Pass |  | X |  | $\times$ |  | 2 |  | 3* |  |
| Sue | Pass |  | X |  | X |  | 34 |  | 2 |  | 30, |  |
| ZIgang Pan | Pass |  | X |  | Pass |  | X | Takeout | 2 |  | 34 |  |
|  | Pass |  | Pass |  | Pass |  | 3. |  | 3. |  | $3{ }^{*}$ |  |
|  | Pass |  | Pass |  | x |  | Pass |  | 2 |  | Pass |  |

