| Goren on Bridge: Weekly bridge quiz | Q 2 - North-South vulnerable |
| :---: | :---: |
| GOREN BRIDGE OCTOBER 21, 2023 |  |
| Q1 - Neither vulnerable | NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST |
| \&Q953VQJ7-QT7¢974 | 1) Pass 1\% Pass |
| NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST | 14. Pass ? |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{NT}^{*} \quad \mathrm{P} \quad ? \\ & * 20-21 \end{aligned}$ | What call would you make? |
| What call would you make? |  |
| Q 3 - East-West vulnerable | Q 4 - Both vulnerable |
| ¢AQ3v9-KJ876532*K | ¢85 K T 98754 - A Q 3 ¢ 7 |
| SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST | SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST ? |
| 1* 1\% 2* 3** |  |
| ? | What call would you make? |
| *Pre-emptive |  |
| What call would you make? |  |
| Q 5 - North-South vulnerable | Q 6 - East-West vulnerable |
| ¢ 75 『AK 76532 A 8 ¢ 6 | ¢K V Void Q J 9 8 64*KQT 764 |
| SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST | SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST |
| ? | ? |
| What call would you make? | What call would you make? |
|  | Look for answers on Monday. |

## Bob Jones October 21 Quiz

## Question 1

Q1 - Neither vulnerable


```
NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST
2NT P ?
```



Bob Jones 3NT Don't bother with Stayman with 4-3-3-3 distribution. Bid 3NT.

Steve Vogel 39 (Puppet) With my 4-3-3-3 shape, 3NT might be the best place to play, especially given my holding of Queens and a Jack, which is more conducive to notrump than suit play. But if we have a 9 -card spade fit, I would instead choose to play in 44. If partner responds anything but 34 (showing 5 spades) to my 3is bid,

Joe Muenks 3 3\% Plenty of points for game. Often time partner will need to open 2NT a bit off balance (card-wise, not mentally) so even though you're balanced an 8-card major might well play much better.

Bob Fisk $\quad \mathbf{3 \rho}$ (Puppet). If partner has a 5 -card spade suit, we'll be in 49. If not, we'll be in 3NT (i.e., I have no desire to play in a 4-4 or 5-3 bit with a flat hand).

Steve Moese 3\% (Puppet Stayman). I do not fault an immediate 3NT which might work well. However our combined 27-28 HCP is not quite at the tipping point (29-30) where we expect NT to do as well as 4M while scoring better. Partner might have a weak doubleton in clubs or diamonds. If so, they will prefer playing in a Major. If partner has a 5-card Major we want to play in that suit as the risk of slow losers in a side suit is higher.
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Question 2
Q 2 - North-South vulnerable

| NORTH | EAST | SOUTH | WEST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1{ }^{\text {d }}$ | Pass | 1v | Pass |
| 14 | Pass | ? |  |



Bob Jones 2 Too strong to bid 4@. Bid 2d, fourth suit forcing to game, and proceed slowly. There might be enough for slam.

Steve Vogel 2- With a hand of this strength, I first need to create a game force by bidding $2 \diamond$ (XYZ game force), then I will explore slam in spades depending upon partner's rebid.

Joe Muenks 50 So you could bid 2 if playing $4^{\text {th }}$ suit forcing to game (or 2 if playing XYZ), then support spades, then start cue bids, or you can take a few chances about honors in diamonds (partner has to have SOMETHING) and bid 54 , which says, "partner, bid 64 if as declarer you do not have 2 quick club losers." If partner bids at the 6 level other than spades it is a try for 7 , so we'll bid 6 h to cooperate.

Bob Fisk 3- (XYZ Slam try). A 3-level bid in a previously bid suit shows slam interest. Here, partner knows that I have four spades and that I don't have a singleton club (i.e., a jump in the fourth suit in XYZ world shows a splinter in support of the last suit bid). There's no reason to bid here, since I want partner to have $1^{\text {st }}$ - or $2^{\text {nd }}$-round control in both minor suits. Picture xxxx Qx AKxx Axx, making 7 ${ }^{\text {. }}$.

Steve Moese 20 (Or if playing XYZ). 2is is fourth suit forcing and a good first step for a hand with slam interest. You will not stop short of 4 a . By keeping the bidding low you encourage control bidding from partner. That will help locate minor suit controls. In XYZ a Jump to 3 (3 here) in a 1-1-1 auction is natural, pure, and shows slam interest - what we have. Pure means prime values with no strength wastage in the unbid suits - perfect! (XYZ afficionados who bid $2 \Downarrow$ here GF are abusing the system. While an XYZ $2 \Downarrow$ bid is GF, it shows wasted values in the unbid suits! See XY1N for more details on XYZ:
https://bridgewinners.com/article/view/two-way-new-minor-forcing-aka-xynt/
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## Question 3

Q 3 - East-West vulnerable



Bob Jones 5 4 would be forcing, but 5 shows a freak with diamonds. This is a freak with diamonds. Bid 5 \$.

Steve Vogel 39 I am not sure where we should play (NT, diamonds or clubs), so I will temporize with a 34 allowing partner to make the next move. I could rebid 4>, but I do not like passing up 3NT as a possible contract. Another option is to pass, but I do not want to risk allowing the opponents to play in 3 \%.

Joe Muenks 4- You want to compete further and 4 feels like enough vulnerable, though 5 is tempting. Partner can move further with an appropriate hand holding something like a singleton $\downarrow A$ or $\downarrow Q$ since you bid "in front" of partner.

Bob Fisk 5 I know partner has 10+ HCP with fewer than four spades (no Negative Double). It's tempting to bid 6 immediately, but we don't play partner for perfect cards (Kxx Ax Qx Axxxxx for instance). If partner has that hand, partner is bidding 6 anyway.

Steve Moese $\underline{\mathbf{X}} \quad$ They have shown a minimum 9-card fit. This double is NOT a long trump suit penalty since I will almost never hold that. Instead it asks partner to bid 3NT with a stopper (or pass if their hand suggests that) if partner rebids clubs instead, we rebid diamonds aiming at game or possibly slam.
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## Question 4

Q 4 －Both vulnerable

SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST
？


Bob Jones 3 Don＇t even think about passing．1『，2『，or 3 ？ We like 3 『．
Steve Vogel Pass This is a close call．I think I have too much defense and too much potential offense for a $3^{\top}$ preempt（even vulnerable）．I would not consider preempting in $2^{\text {nd }}$ seat，but it is a much closer call in $1^{\text {st }}$ seat．Today，I would choose to pass with the plan to enter the auction later．Tomorrow，I might choose differently．

Joe Muenks 3V Do not tell my 1990＇s self that this is an equal vulnerability 3 preempt in 2023，we＇d have the 『JT to go with the 『K－7th，we＇d never have AQx in an off suit，but today this is going to be the most common call．

Bob Fisk 3－（Preemptive）．There＇s not much to add to this one，but I like typing，so there．Type，type，type．

Steve Moese 3－While conservative，the Rule of 2－3－4 suggests 3 here when we are vulnerable，so that＇s what we do．The heart intermediates are perfect for this bid．
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## Question 5

Q 5 - North-South vulnerable

- 75 VAK 76532 A 8 24

SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST
?

Question 5
39 responses

- 1 H
- 3 H


Bob Jones 1 Similar problem as in Q4, but the answer here is clear cut. Bid 1\%.

Steve Vogel $1 \mathbf{1 7}$ I want to get in the auction early, and I have an easy rebid of $2 \boldsymbol{V}$. I view this hand with 2 Aces and a King as easily worth a $1 \$$ opening bid. I would not consider a preempt with this holding.

Joe Muenks 1 Whatever method you were taught to count points to decide whether to open: shortness, length, quick tricks, planned rebid, etc. this is a 1-level opener. $95 \%+$ for 17 ?

Bob Fisk 1- Much different than the previous hand. Here, I have five controls (Ace=two, King=one), so my hand is WAY too good to preempt. I want to be in 7NT opposite Axxx Qx xxx AKQJ.

Steve Moese 17 DON'T be tempted to open 3 here even though the rule of 2-3-4 says so. We have 3 Quick Tricks. That's too much defense. Besides let's let partner help us find best level for this hand. Note: the $2=7=2=2$ shape is poor. Don't be swayed by the three doubletons. Ruffing in the long suit hand does not add tricks to our contract total.

# Bob Jones October 21 Quiz 

## Question 6

Q 6 - East-West vulnerable

SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST
?


Bob Jones 1 We like $1 \diamond$, but we don't mind $2 \downarrow$. Either way, be prepared to bid clubs next, regardless of the level.

Steve Vogel 1 Another close call. It might be best to come into the auction later with an unusual notrump bid, but that might not be possible if the opponents open with $1 \%$ (or even 1*). Given the good intermediates in my suits, I would choose to open 1 and hope for the best.

Joe Muenks 1 I'm going to be bidding a lot, but so are the other 3 at the table, some of whom statistically have very distributional hands, too. So I'll bid 1 then clubs twice up to a very high level.

Bob Fisk 1 Alternatively, you can bid 2NT and then look at your RHO saying "Oh, I'm sorry. I thought you opened 14. "Oopsies."

Steve Moese Pass Unless we are sure we will declare, we risk giving opponents distribution information that will help them make their contract. Besides with the Rule of 22 we are still short: 11 cards in 2 suits plus 11 HCP is 22, but we need 2 Quick tricks that we don't have. Wait till the next round of bidding and introduce both suits at an appropriate level. If our opening 2NT shows minors in a 8-11 range, then do that. Don't have that tool, do you.
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|  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$|$| Bob Jones |
| :--- |

SM: Unit Elections and Membership Meeting are coming in November. Be sure to attend. Also Dec 11-17 is a Royal STaC (Gold, Red, Silver, Black) that unites Districts 11, 7, and 10. That raises the overall awards tremendously!

| AnnR | 3NT | 2 | 4 | 3 | $1 \checkmark$ | 1. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bob K | 3\% | 34 | 5 | Pass | $1 \checkmark$ | 1. |
| Carl Willig | 3\% | 2 | 5 | 2V | $1 \checkmark$ | 1 |
| Carol Wilson | 3NT | 4NT | 4 | $1 \vee$ | $1 \checkmark$ | 1 |
| Cathy W | 3\% | 2 | 4 | 2v | $1 \checkmark$ | 1. |
| Chuck | 3\% | 49 | 4 | $2 \vee$ | 18 | 120 |
| Dave | 3NT | 2 | 4 | $1 \vee$ | $1 \checkmark$ | $1{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Dean Congbalay | 3NT | 2\% | Pass | 3v | $1 \checkmark$ | 1. |
| Doug Edwards | 3NT | 2\% | 4 | 3 | 18 | Pass |
| Ed | 3NT | 34 | 4 | $3 \vee$ | $1 \checkmark$ | 1) |
| Gary Busch | 3NT | 34 | 4 | $2 \vee$ | $1 \checkmark$ | $1{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| Greg | 3\% | 4NT | 4 | Pass | 1 | 2 |
| James D | 3\% | 2 | 5 | 3 | 19 | 1 |
| James Jacobson | 3NT | 34 | 4 | $2 \vee$ | $1 \checkmark$ | 1. |
| Jay Gala | 3NT | 2 | 5 | $3 \vee$ | $1 \checkmark$ | 1 |
| JohnMcQ | 3NT | 34 | 4 | 3v | 19 | 1 |
| Jon Hoak | 3NT | 2\% | 5 | 3 | $1 \checkmark$ | 1. |
| Judy Lubow | 3NT | 2\% | 4 | $1 \vee$ | 18 | Pass |
| Jusie Clendening | 3NT | 4NT | 5 | 3 | $1 \checkmark$ | 1 |
| Kevin C. | 3\% | 49 | 4 | $3 \vee$ | $1 \checkmark$ | 1. |
| Kevin H. | 3\% | 34 | 4 | Pass | 3 | 1 |
| Lanny | 3\% | 2 | 5 | 1\% | $1 P$ | 1. |
| Linda | 3\% | 2 | 4 | 3 | $1 \checkmark$ | 1. |
| Martha | Pass | 49 | 4 | $3 \vee$ | 18 | 1 |
| Mike Burns | 3NT | 2 | 4 | $3 \vee$ | 19 | 1. |
| Nell Wickstrom | 3\% | 34 | 4 | 3 | $1 P$ | 1. |
| Pam Campbell | 3\% | 2 | 5 | 3 | $1 \nabla$ | 1 |
| Pete Outcalt | 3\% | 34 | 4 | Pass | 19 | Pass |
| Rick Read | 3NT | 35 | 4 | 1v | $3 \vee$ | 2 |
| Rod | 3\% | 4NT | 6 | 1v | 17 | 23 |
| Sue | 3\% | 4NT | 4 | 3v | 39 | 1 |
| Vijay | 3NT | 2 | 5 | $3 \vee$ | 19 | 1. |
| Zigang Pan | 3NT | 4NT | X | 3 | 18 | 1 |
|  | 3NT | 4NT | 5 | $2 \vee$ | $1 \checkmark$ | 1) |

Bob Jones October 21 Quiz


