## Bob Jones September 23 Quiz

Goren on Bridge: Weekly bridge quiz
GOREN BRIDGE SEPTEMBER 23, 2023
Q1 - Neither vulnerable
\& 64 ҮK 875 \& Q $843 * Q 7$
NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST
3^ P ?
What call would you make?
Q 3 - East-West vulnerable

WEST NORTH EAST SOUTH
14 2 Pass ?
What call would you make?

Q 5 - North-South vulnerable

- K Q 8 ソAK 94 *AT 875 * 9

SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST
1* Pass 1NT Pass
?
What call would you make?

Q 2 - North-South vulnerable
-5432 Void *A 93 *K Q 865
EAST SOUTH WEST NORTH
1v ?
What call would you make?

Q 4 - Both vulnerable

NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST 1NT P ?

What call would you make?

Q 6 - East-West vulnerable

- J 87 VAQ 76 K 983 \& Q

SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST
1NT Pass 2 ${ }^{*}$ * Pass
?
*Transfer to spades
What call would you make?
Look for answers on Monday.
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## Question 1

Q1 - Neither vulnerable
-6 4 ҮK 875 A Q 843 Q 7
NORTH EAST SOUTH WEST
3\& P ?

Question 1
38 responses
4 S


Bob Jones Pass When partner pre-empts in your shortest suit, or tied for your shortest, you should usually pass. Pass.

Steve Vogel Pass With reasonable defensive values, I see no reason to push up the preempt to 44.

Joe Muenks Pass At matchpoints I'm passing and hoping partner can get a plus. The opponents are really unlikely to make anything. At teams I'm confidently bidding 4 in the off chance it makes game. The opponents still can't make anything but if they think we're stealing they may bid too high, heh heh.

Bob Fisk Pass Give partner the absolute best hand they've ever had for a 35 bid (AKxxxx Qx xxx x), and you'd still need Spades to be 2-2 and the $\downarrow$ K onside ( $25 \%$ or there abouts) to make four. Nope.

Steve Moese Pass We're not going anywhere. I hope the opponents choose to bid.
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Question 2
Q 2 - North-South vulnerable
-5432レー A 963 - K Q 865
EAST SOUTH WEST NORTH $1 \%$ ?


Bob Jones $\underline{X} \quad$ Short on high cards, but the distribution is perfect. Double.

Steve Vogel Pass I have attractive shape, but my partner will count on more strength if I make a direct vulnerable double. Best to wait for now.

Joe Muenks $\underline{\mathbf{X}} \quad$ When you have the perfect shape for a takeout double you can reduce your high cards since our playing strength is good. Translated: partner is expected to play a cross ruff declarer line so quick tricks A, KQ and shortness, our void, make this hand a great hand for gathering tricks on offense.

Bob Fisk Pass The votes will be split between pass and double. I like pass here at unfavorable vulnerability. Switch the vulnerability, and I'm doubling.

Steve Moese X Very aggressive, but in tune with today's game. Would not fault a pass. However great support for 3 suits tempts. I cannot pass and double later. That shows a different hand.

Question 3
Q 3 - East-West vulnerable
-AK KK 9764 * 7653 K 8
WEST NORTH EAST SOUTH
14 2 Pass ?


Bob Jones $\underline{\mathbf{2 V}}$ There is a lot to do but start by looking for a possible heart fit. Bid $2 \boldsymbol{V}$.
Steve Vogel $\underline{\mathbf{2 4}}$ My partners and I play that a new suit bid by advancer following a pass by responder is forcing for one round. If partner does not have heart support, I will get another opportunity to show my diamond support or bid 3NT. I would not overly criticize those who choose to bid 3NT immediately, but that would likely eliminate any chance for slam, which is possible with the right hand.

Joe Muenks $\mathbf{2 \boldsymbol { V }}$ EPLS. As an unpassed hand with most partners my new suit is forcing 1 round, shows at least 10 points and at least 5 cards. In expert partnerships I start $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ since a cue bid is support OR a game forcing hand ( $2 \boldsymbol{V}$ is not forcing). In those partnerships I bid hearts to show 5 or no trump next and force the action to game.

Bob Fisk $\underline{\mathbf{2 V}}$ This shows 5+ Hearts and 10+ HCP. 100\%? I hope so. :o)

Steve Moese 2 $\mathbf{~ 2 ~}$ The choice here depends on how you play the cue bid. I prefer " $Q$ only force" and not "Q is a raise". I will bid hearts next. This hand is too strong for $2 \vee$ and the heart suit is too weak for a jump shift (natural and forcing for those playing a Q raise. Support for diamonds allows us to be a tad aggressive.
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Question 4
Q 4 - Both vulnerable

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { NORTH EAST } & \text { SOUTH WEST } \\ \text { 1NT P ? }\end{array}$

Question 4


Bob Jones 2 This is a close choice between playing in 1 NT or in $2 \boldsymbol{V}$. We like $2 \boldsymbol{V}$, by transfer if you play that, but just barely.

Steve Vogel 2 (hearts) While I have a ratty heart suit, my trick contribution to a notrump contract will be small if partner does not have support. Best to play in my long suit and hope for the best.

Joe Muenks 2 EPLS. If the K is an entry or not, the is not, so this hand will take more tricks with hearts as trump than in no trump since we're almost sure to have longer hearts than anyone else, so play the suit contract.

Bob Fisk 2 (Hearts). This shows 5+ Hearts and 0-infinity points. I have closer to zero than I do to infinity (I did the math to check to see if that was correct). I'll pass partner's $2 \boldsymbol{V}$ bid or re-transfer to $3 \geqslant$ if partner super-accepts.

Steve Moese 2 Always transfer to a 5-card Major. At lease partner will have some entries eventually.

## Question 5

Q 5 - North-South vulnerable

- K Q 8 ҮAK 94 * T 875 \& 9

| SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $1 *$ | Pass | $1 N T$ |
| $?$ |  |  |
| $l$ |  |  |



Bob Jones 2 No point in reversing as partner can't have 4 hearts. Not good enough for $2 N T$, so choose between pass and $2 \downarrow$. We like $2 \downarrow$, as there is still some chance for game.

Steve Vogel 2 Yes, this is about the worst hand I will hold for this bid, but I like the alternatives even less. A 2 bid is pretty much giving up, a 2 NT rebid is a significant distortion of my holding both in HCP and shape, and I think I have too much prime high card strength to pass.

Joe Muenks 2才 Pick a lie. We're 1 HCP short for a reverse, but I choose to go that route. With almost all partners I play a structured reverse (or whatever you call it), so partner can stop us at the 3 level with a really bad hand. Partner can still have a nice smattering and 3NT make, so don't get too worried just yet.

Bob Fisk $\quad \mathbf{2 \boldsymbol { V }}$ This is probably one of the weaker reverses you'll ever make, but what the heck? Could partner hold Jxx Txx KQx QJTx? Of course partner could! You might make four opposite that!

Steve Moese 2NT Inviting partner to Game if they hold 9-10. Don't worry about clubs. Partner has them.
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Question 6
Q 6 - East-West vulnerable

SOUTH WEST NORTH EAST
1NT Pass 2v Pass
?


Bob Jones 24 This is a nice hand for spades, but you need 4-card support to go past 24. Bid 24.

Steve Vogel 24 I would not strongly consider any other bid for this hand. My spades are fair at best, and I only have 3 of them. It is a much more interesting decision of whether to super-accept if partner were to have transferred to hearts.

Joe Muenks 24 EPLS. This looks like a 95\% concur hand, unless I'm missing something.

Bob Fisk 24 Why is this question in here? Do you think someone is going to pass $2 \sqrt{\text { 2 }}$ because they have better Hearts? 100\%? 1000\%?

Steve Moese 24 No need to do anything else. Partner has another bid coming.
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## Mike Ma

1) P. Is this a joke? Using Rule of 2-3-4, the 3s preempt is off 3 tricks in $3 \boldsymbol{\Delta}$. Your $\vee K$ is worth $1 / 2$, diamond AQ $11 / 2$, and doubleton club may be $1 / 2$. Side suit tricks are difficult to tell opposite preempt because you have no clue which suits opener is short in. So, you would be happy if partner can make 34. Let's look at losers. You have 3 in spades, 2 in hearts, 1 in diamonds and 2 in clubs for 8 . Partner should have like 7 losers for the $3 \boldsymbol{x}$ (again, using 2-3-4). Same conclusion, you sit in 34.

Opponents have the balance of points. With your red suits, unlikely they have game. $4^{\text {th }}$ seat will have a lot of pressure to balance, and unless they bid clubs, they will be in trouble.
2) $X$ Modern, but still sensible, rule is to make a takeout $X$. You start with 13 points and 3 in their suit, then for each less card, you go one point less. So, void = 10 minimum. But your hand is easily worth the extra point.

If your opponents are playing Bergen raises, say it goes $1 \uparrow-P-3 \vee$ back to you, how would you feel?
3) $2 \downarrow$. assuming it is forcing (how I like to play these days). Partner should not have 4 hearts; with 4 hearts and longer diamonds, she would $X$ and covert my 2* to (equal level conversion), not showing extra. But $3 v$ is possible, even though east's $P$ makes it likely partner has $3+$ spades and less likely for $3 \vee$. Still, it seems little risk to bid $2 \downarrow$ if it is forcing.

If $2 \boldsymbol{v}$ is $N F$, I bid $2 \boldsymbol{4}$ showing limit raise+ for diamonds.
4) $2 \downarrow$. Is this a joke. When I have a 5 -card major, I transfer no matter the suit quality. The only exception is when I have like 7-8 points and 5 bad major. With 4 points only, no brainer.
5) 3NT. you have enough values for game. Clubs are a concern. Even though the 1NT bid usually has clubs, it is not certain these days with inverted minor. Partner may have 3343 or even 3352. I don't know what $2 \vee$ means. Is it $5=6$ in red suits or showing uncertainty about NT? Anyway, 3NT is quick and easy even if wrong. ©)
6) 24. NO idea what this is about. To make a 3-card super acceptance, even if you have a way to do it, you need a really good hand. This hand is not even close.
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|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bob Jones | Pass | X | 29 | 2 | 2 | 24 |
| Steve Vogel | Pass | Pass | $2 \vee$ | 2 | $2 \downarrow$ | 24 |
| Joe Muenks | Pass | X | $2 V$ | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 24 |
| Bob Fisk | Pass | Pass | $2 \vee$ | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 24 |
| Steve Moese | Pass | X | 24 | 2 | 2NT | 24 |


| Al Venosa | Pass | Pass | 2NT | 2 | 29 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bob K | Pass | X | 3NT | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 24 |
| Carl Willig | Pass | X | 27 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Carol Wilson | Pass | Pass | $2 \vee$ | Pass | $2 \vee$ | 2 |
| Chuck | Pass | X | $2 \checkmark$ | Pass | $2 \checkmark$ | 2 |
| Dave | 49 | X | 3NT | 2 | 2NT | 24 |
| Dave D | Pass | X | 24 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Dean Congbalay | Pass | Pass | 29 | 2 | 2NT | 2 |
| Doug Edwards | Pass | X | 24 | Pass | 27 | 2 |
| Ed | Pass | X | 3 | 2 | 2NT | 34 |
| Gary Busch | Pass | X | 2NT | 2 | 2NT | 2 |
| Greg | Pass | X | 27 | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 24 |
| James D | Pass | 2\% | 24 | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 2 |
| James Jacobson | Pass | Pass | 29 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Jay Gala | Pass | X | 3NT | 2 | 2NT | 2 |
| JohnMcQ | Pass | X | 29 | 2 | $2 \checkmark$ | 2 |
| Jon Hoak | Pass | X | 3 | 2 | 2NT | 2 |
| Kevin C. | Pass | Pass | 24 | 2 | 3NT | 2 |
| Lanny | 49 | X | $2 \vee$ | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 34 |
| Linda | Pass | Pass | $2 \vee$ | 2 | Pass | 2 |
| Lyn | Pass | 2s | $2 \vee$ | $2 \checkmark$ | 5 | 2 |
| Mike Burns | Pass | Pass | $2 V$ | 2 | Pass | 2 |
| Mike Ma | Pass | X | $2 \vee$ | 2 | 3NT | 2 |
| Mónica | 49 | Pass | 24 | 2 | 27 | 24 |
| Nell Wickstrom | Pass | X | 29 | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 2 |
| Pam Campbell | Pass | Pass | 24 | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 2 |
| Phyllis | 49 | X | 3NT | 2 | 2NT | 2 |
| Rick Read | 49 | X | 5 | 2 | 3NT | 49 |
| Rod | Pass | X | 27 | 2 | 39 | 34 |
| Steve Messinger | Pass | X | 3NT | 2 | 29 | 2 |
| Sue | Pass | X | 3NT | 2 | $2 \vee$ | 2 |
| Vijay | Pass | Pass | 29 | 2 | 29 | 2 |
| Zigang Pan | Pass | X | 3NT | Pass | 3 | 2 |
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